

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	G DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,020	06/20/2003		Andrea D. Branch	RII-003CPUSDV1	6838	
959	7590	03/24/2006		EXAMINER		
	COCKFIE	LD	BOESEN, A	BOESEN, AGNIESZKA		
28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				1648	1648	
				DATE MAILED: 03/24/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/601,020	BRANCH ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Agnieszka Boesen, Ph. D.	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status			,				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Fe	ebruary 2006.					
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>49-91</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
=	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>49-91</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice 3) Information	the of References Cited (PTO-892) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) the mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) the No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/601,020

Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's preliminary amendment filed 2/13/2006 is acknowledged.
 Claims 49-91 are pending and are subject to the following restriction.

Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 49-89, drawn to a method of diagnosing Hepatitis C virus, comprising contacting an isolated amino acid sequence encoded by an HCV nucleic acid molecule SEQ ID NO: 1 with a biological sample from a subject, classified in class 435, subclass 339.

If Group I is elected the Applicant is further required to elect **one** amino acid sequence, which will be used in the method of detection of the presence of the antibody in the sample.

- a) SEQ ID NO: 2
- b) SEQ ID NO: 3
- c) SEQ ID NO: 4
- d) SEQ ID NO: 5
- e) SEQ ID NO: 6
- f) SEQ ID NO: 9

Application/Control Number: 10/601,020 Page 3

Art Unit: 1648

II. Claims 90 and 91, drawn to a kit for diagnosing HCV, comprising an amino acid sequence immobilized on a surface and a labeled secondary anti-human antibody, classified in class 530, subclass 300.

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. For example the amino acid sequence can be used for immunization purposes. A search for peptide sequences is not co-extensive with a search for a method of use of the diagnostic kit comprising the peptides. It is an undue burden for the examiner to search more than one invention. Therefore restriction for examination purposes is proper.

Furthermore, the six amino acid sequences are different structurally and must be searched not only in commercial amino acid sequence databases, but also in textual databases of non-patent literature. Searching all amino acid sequences together would present a serious search burden on the Office.

Because the inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the literature and sequence search required for one group is not co-extensive with any other

Art Unit: 1648

group, and therefore presents a serious burden of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Notice of Possible Rejoinder

4. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and a product claim is subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be rejoined in accordance with the provisions of MPEP § 821.04. Process claims that depend from or otherwise include all the limitations of the patentable product will be entered as a matter of right if the amendment is presented prior to final rejection or allowance, whichever is earlier. Amendments submitted after final rejection are governed by 37 CFR 1.116; amendments submitted after allowance are governed by 37 CFR 1.312.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103, and 112. Until an elected product claim is found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not

Art Unit: 1648

commensurate in scope with an allowed product claim will not be rejoined. See "Guidance on Treatment of Product and Process Claims in light of In re Ochiai, In re Brouwer and 35 U.S.C. § 103(b)," 1184 O.G. 86 (March 26, 1996). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, Applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution either to maintain dependency on the product claims or to otherwise include the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Agnieszka Boesen, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-8035. The examiner can normally be reached on M – F (9:00AM - 6:00PM).

Application/Control Number: 10/601,020 Page 6

Art Unit: 1648

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Housel can be reached on 571-272-0902. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Agnieszka Boesen, Ph.D.

March 17, 2006

Stacy B. Chen Patent Examiner Art Unit 1648